

3

4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3330 OF 2006

PETITIONER

:

Mathura Prasad Kashyap, aged 50 years, Son of Bedilal Kashyap, Occupation-Service, Presently working as Revenue Inspector Kota, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

333062
333062
Presented by Shri. Ganesha Singh
dated 6/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

:

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through :
The Secretary, Department of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Deputy Secretary,
Department of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
3. The Commissioner, (Land
Records), Raipur (C.G.).
4. The Collector, District Bilaspur,
(C.G.).



RECEIVED-COPY

CLERK to DISTRICT BILASPUR
6/12/06

9/12/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक... W.P.No. 3330/06 सन् 2006

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	10-7-2006:- Heard Shri Bhaskar Payashi, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ashutosh Kachhawaha, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy. By this writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the order dated 30-6-2006 passed by respondent No.2 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Kota District Bilaspur to Raigarh. The main contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is the officer of District Cadre and the petitioner cannot be transferred out of the district. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has already made a representation on 3.7.2006 to respondent No.1 but nothing has been done so far. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with copy of this petition and relevant documents to respondent No.1 within a period of 15 days and in turn respondent No.1 is directed to decide representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation, in accordance with law. Till then, the petitioner should not be relieved, if he has already not relieved. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2557/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

11/7/06 Baruey